

**REMARKS**

In addition to the comments provided in the response filed February 22, 2005, Applicant's submit the following, new remarks.

The present invention offers the called party – the so-called B-party – call charging information (e.g. rate of the call per minute, etc.) in a better quality and in real-time. Conventionally, the call charge information is determined in a local telecommunication exchange which is assigned to the calling party (A-party), and the call charge information presented to the called party (B-party) is assumed on the basis of a model in the telecommunication exchange assigned to the B-party. Thus, the cost of the call presented to the B-party may be wrong.

The present invention, on the other hand, uses the call charge information determined in the local telecommunication exchange assigned to the calling party to be presented to the called party. This call charge information is the exact one. The call charge information is then sent to the local telecommunication exchange assigned to the B-party before being presented to the B-party. A generic APM (Application Transport Message)-ISUP-message can be used for the transfer of the call charge information.

Referring to Swale, there is no teaching or suggestion of the call charge information to the called party (B-party). Rather, the correctly determined call charge information is used. There is also no teaching or disclosure that the call charge information determined in the telecommunication exchange assigned to the calling party (A-party) is sent to the telecommunication exchange assigned to the called party (B-party).

Instead, Swale (for example, col. 6 lines 22 – 40) teaches that the B-party's exchange is instructed by the A-party's exchange to set up its own Call Detail record, when reverse charging is accepted by the B-party.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to

withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue. If it is determined that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

In the event the U.S. Patent and Trademark office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to Deposit Account No. 03-1952 referencing docket no.449122020600. However, the Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Dated: March 17, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By

Kevin R. Spivak

Registration No.: 43,148

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
1650 Tysons Blvd, Suite 300  
McLean, Virginia 22102  
(703) 760-7762